**3.ETHICS POLICY**

 **WE LIKE ETHICS POLICY OF COPE (COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATION ETHICS)**

 **PALGRAVE MACMILLAN IS A MEMBER OF COPE.**

**WE SHALL PUBLISH INSTRUCTIONS ON THE EXPECTATIONS OF THE AUTHORS. THESE INSTRUCTIONS SHOULD BE UPDATED REGULARLY AND REFER TO THE CODE ARCHIVES ACCORDING TO THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATION ETHICS (COPE)**

**WE REQUEST TO EDITOR, PUBLISHER, AUTHOR AND REER REVIEWERS THEY MUST READ OUR THESE ETHICS POLICY:**

**ROLE OF EDITOR:**

 **THE ARTICLE SHOULD BE EXAMINED BY MANUAL DEXTERITY.INITIALLY IT IS POSSIBLE AND BETTER TO DETECT THEFT.**

**SOME AUTHORS DON’T KNOW ABOUT PUBLICATION ETHICS. THEY SHOULD BE GIVEN KNOWLEDGE OF PUBLICATION ETHICS BY EDITORS.**

 **FIRST RESPONSIBILITY OF EDITOR IS DEPENDENT ON**

**IMPORTANCE, ORIGINALITY AND CLARITY OF THE WRITING.**

**THE ETHICS AND DESCRIPTIONS, AND THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE STUDY AND THE RELEVANCE OF THE JOURNAL.**

**EDITOR MUST HAVE A SYSTEM IF HE HAS NOT OPEN ACCES POLICY NEVER TELL ABOUT REVIEWERS TO AUTHOR OR ANY OTHER AND DON’T TELL ABOUT AUTHOR TO REVIEWERS OR ANY OTHER PERSON.**

 **IF ANY BODY FEEL ANY MISCONDUCT IN ETHICAL VIEWS ,EDITOR SHALL SOLVE ALL IN A GOOD WAY.**

 **TIME TO TIME, EDITOR SHOULD BE INFORMED TO PAST AND LATEST EDITORIAL BOARD, WHOSE KNOWLEDGE ARE THEIR RIGHTS WITH NEW POLICIES AND DEVELOPMENTS. IT CAN BE BETTER PER YEAR TO TELL TO EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS ABOUT CHALLANGE OF FUTURE AND TO GET NEW OPIONS.**

 **ROLE OF REVIEWERS:**

**REVIEWERS SHOULD TRY THEIR BEST TO TELL ABOUT RELEVANT PUBLICATIONS ,SMALL AND LARGE SIMILARITIES WITH PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED WRITING AND DONT REVIEW ON THE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, COMPETITIVE, FINANCIAL.IF REVIEWER FEEL DIFFICULTY TO REVIWE ,SHOULD BE REFUSED AND TOLD TO EDITOR.**

**HE SHOULD REVIEW IN MAXIMUM TIME (TWO WEEKS)**

**SHOULD NEVER TELL TO THIRD PARTY(AUTHOR OR ANY OTHER) ABOUT REVIEWING.**

**REVIEWERS COMMENTS CAN BE SENT TO AUTHOR IF THESE ARE GOOD THOROUGH THE EDITOR BUT IF THEY MAKE OFFENSIVE OR ABUSIVE COMMENTS NEVER SEND TO AUTHOR.**

**ROLE OF AUTHORS:**

**WHEN A WRITING IS SENDING TO PUBLISH FIRST TIME, SHOLD BE GIVEN EXACT PRESENTATION.HE SHOULD BE SHOW IMPORTACE OF ARTICLE AND TOLD ABOUT EARLIER WORK. EXPERIMENTAL DETAIL IS NECESSARY.**

**WE LIKE TO PROVIDE AN ADVICE TO OUR WRITERS TO MAINTAIN THE INTEGRITY OF SCHOLARS OF OUR MAGAZINES AND ITS CONTENT AND TO EXPAND THE MORAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF OUR WRITERS**

**AUTHORS SHOULD FOLLOW BEST PRACTICES AND CODES ACCORDING NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL BODIES.**

**THUS THE BURDEN ON THE EDITOR AND THE REVIEWERS WILL BE REDUCED.**

**MANUSCRIPT SOULD NOT BE PUBLISHED ANY PART OR COMPLETELY WHICH HAS BEEN PUBLISHED BEFORE .IT CAN BE EXPANSION.AVOID SELF PLAGIARISM.**

**AUTHORS SHOULD AVOID GETTING THE RESULTS OF RESEARCH INCORRECTLY, WHICH CAN BE HARMFUL FOR THE FAITH OF JOURNAL**

**AUTHOR SHOULD BE USED WEB BASED TOOLS FOR MATCHING TEXT,BUT IT CAN NOT IDENTIFY ITSELF FULLY ON THEFT OF LITERATURE.**

**IT IS ADVISED TO AUTHOR,WRITE CORRECT NAME OR CORRECT AUTHOR GROUP.AFTER ACCEPTANCE OF WRITING IS NOT EASY TO CHANGE IT.**

**THE AUTHOR SHOULD TELL SOON ABOUT ALL FINANCIAL MATTER OR OTHER INTEREST.ITCAN AFFECT ON DATA OR INTERPRETATION.**

**WRITER SHOULD BE NEAR TO THOSE GREAT MEN ,WHO HAVE IMPORTANT WORKS۔IF A WRITER GETS A MAJOR MISTAKE IN THE PUBLISHED MATERIAL, THEN HE SHOULD TELL TO THE EDITOR AND INDICATED ABOUT THIS MISTAKE.**

**.**

**ROLE OF PUBLISHER:**

 **THE PUBLISHER WILL PRESENT AN EXPLANATION WITH THE EDITORIAL BOARD, IN THE CASE OF BAD HABIT WITH PROVEN SCIENTIFIC FACTS, EPIC FRAUD,PLAGIARISM.HE WILL PUBLISH THE ERROR, OR TAKE THE APPROPRIATE STEPS TO RETURN THE WORK.**

**THE PUBLISHER SHOULD TRUST THE EDITOR THAT THE CONTENT IS GOOD FOR THE PUBLICATION.**